



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,077	08/04/2006	Paulo Eduardo Mantelatto	28174-0003US1	1522
26211 7590 02/23/2011 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (NY) P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER AUDET, MAURY A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1654				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/23/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,077	MANTELATTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAURY AUDET	1654	

All Participants:

(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner.

(2) Peter Ludwig, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
NA

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1415

/Maury Audet/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Applicant following the filing of the RCE to have them flush out and get back to the Examiner as to the specific step(s) and unexpected results attained that distinguish the presently claimed method of obtaining PHA's from bacteria from that of the prior art directed to carrying out the same end result. Applicant's Representative indicated he would get back to the Examiner after discussion with Applicant.